arXiv:1610.06471v2 [hep-th] 27 Oct 2016

YITP-16-114
Oct 2016

# Matrix Model of Chern-Simons Matter Theories Beyond The Spherical Limit

Shuichi Yokoyama*

*Yukawa Institute for Theoretical Physics, Kyoto University, Kitashirakawa-Oiwakecho, Sakyo-Ku, Kyoto, Japan*

**Abstract**

A general class of matrix models which arises as partition function in U($N$) Chern-Simons matter theories on three sphere is investigated. Employing the standard technique of the $1/N$ expansion we solve the system beyond the planar limit. We confirm that the subleading correction in the free energy correctly reproduces the one obtained by expanding the past exact result in the case of pure Chern-Simons theory.

*E-mail: shuichi.yokoyama[at]yukawa.kyoto-u.ac.jp

# 1 Introduction

Recent progress in supersymmetric Chern-Simons matter theories has been made on the basis of the exact result by means of the supersymmetric localization. This technique allows one to compute the partition function of supersymmetric theories exactly by the steepest descent method, which reduces the path integral calculation to a certain matrix model one [1]. This calculation was done generically on $\mathbf{S}^3$ [2, 3, 4] (see also [5, 6]) and on $\mathbf{S}^2 \times \mathbf{S}^1$ called superconformal index [7, 8] (see also [9, 10, 11]). These exact results were in precise agreement with the prediction from $AdS_4/CFT_3$ duality [12, 13] (see also [14, 15]). See [16] for review and references therein.

On the other hand, progress in non-supersymmetric Chern-Simons matter theories has also been made not relying on the localization technique but on the $1/N$ expansion technique by restricting the class of matter fields to vector fields. It was conjectured that such system is exactly soluble in the 't Hooft large $N$ limit [17, 18]. The thermal partition function in Chern-Simons vector models on $\mathbf{S}^2 \times \mathbf{S}^1$ was determined exactly in the leading of the $1/N$ expansion near the critical high temperature [19] (see also [20, 21]), which was used to show the three dimensional bosonization duality [22, 23, 24]. The three sphere partition function of the systems was also analyzed perturbatively near the weak coupling limit of the Chern-Simons coupling constant [25] to confirm that the system obeys the F-theorem [3]. So far exact large $N$ analysis of the three sphere partition function of the system is not performed due to some technical difficulty.

In this situation we change gears to study a class of matrix models which is to be obtained as the three sphere partition function of Chern-Simons matter theories. To illustrate what kind of matrix models is to be studied, let us consider the partition function of generic $U(N)_k$ Chern-Simons matter theories on the three sphere with unit radius.

$$Z = \int \mathcal{D}A\mathcal{D}\Phi e^{-\frac{ik}{4\pi}\int_{\mathbf{S}^3}(A\wedge dA-\frac{2i}{3}A\wedge A\wedge A)-S[\Phi,A]}, \tag{1.1}$$

where $\Phi$ denotes all the matter fields collectively and $S[\Phi, A]$ is the action for the matter fields. This may be computed perturbatively as follows. (See [26] for details.) We first expand the gauge field by the vector spherical harmonics

$$A_\mu(x) = \sum_{s\in\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{N}} \left( \sum_{\substack{|l|\le s\\ |r|\le s+1}} a_{l\,r}^{s\,s+1} Y_l^{s\,s+1}{}_{r\,\mu}(x) + \sum_{\substack{|l|\le s+1\\ |r|\le s}} a_l^{s+1\,s}{}_r Y_l^{s+1\,s}{}_{r\mu}(x) + \sum_{|l|,|r|\le s} a_{l,r}^{s,s} Y_l^{s\,s}{}_{r\,\mu}(x) \right). \tag{1.2}$$

We take the Lorenz gauge $\nabla_\mu A^\mu = 0$, which kills the modes $a_{l,r}^{s,s}$ with $s > 0$. The residual gauge can kill the mode $a_{0,0}^{0,0}$ except its Cartan part, which we denote by $\sigma$. We expand the matter fields in a similar manner. Taking into account the Faddeev-Popov determinant we integrate out all massive modes such as $a_{l,r}^{s,s+1}, a_{l,r}^{s+1,s}$ and all the modes coming from the matter fields, which are massive on the three sphere. Then the partition function reduces to the finite-dimensional integration of the effective action over $\sigma$.

$$Z = \int d^N\sigma e^{-i\frac{k}{4\pi}\sum_{s=1}^N \sigma_s^2+\cdots}, \tag{1.3}$$

where the ellipsis is some function of $\sigma_s$ generated by integrating out the massive modes. When the matter fields vanish, for example, the effective action can be exactly computed by the supersymmetric localization or the cohomological localization [27] by adding the auxiliary fields so as to complete the gauge field in the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ vector multiplet. The result is [28]

$$Z \sim \int_{\mathbf{R}^N} d^N\sigma e^{-i\frac{k}{4\pi}\sum_{s=1}^N \sigma_s^2 + \sum_{t\neq s}^N \log 2\sinh(\frac{\sigma_s-\sigma_t}{2})}. \tag{1.4}$$

Then by denoting the correction of the matter fields to the effective action by $V[\sigma]$, the partition function is given by a form such that

$$Z \sim \int_{\mathbf{R}^N} d^N\sigma \prod_{t\neq s}^N 2\sinh(\frac{\sigma_s-\sigma_t}{2}) e^{-V[\sigma]}. \tag{1.5}$$

In this note we analyze this class of matrix models by restricting the form of potential to be consisting of single trace operators: $V[\sigma] = N\sum_{s=1}^N W_\sigma(\sigma_s)$.[1] The goal of this paper is to solve this class of matrix models incorporating the standard technique of $1/N$ expansion developed in the study of ordinary hermitian matrix models [29] beyond the spherical limit [30, 31]. See [32, 33] for review and further references.

The rest of this paper is written as follows. In §2 we perform some preliminary analysis of the matrix models. In §3 we derive the loop equation for this class of matrix models. In §4 we solve the loop equation by using the $1/N$ expansion. We give a general solution for the planar limit (§4.1) and for the genus one (§4.3). Then we apply this solution to pure Chern-Simons theory and compare with the known exact result (§4.4). §5 is devoted to discussion and future direction. In §A we give a brief review on the partition function of pure Chern-Simons theory on three sphere in order for this paper to be self-contained.

# 2 Matrix model of Chern-Simons matter theories

Throughout this paper we investigate a class of matrix models such that

$$Z = \mathfrak{N}\int_{\mathbf{R}^N} d^N\sigma \prod_{t\neq s}^N 2\sinh(\frac{\sigma_s-\sigma_t}{2}) e^{-N\sum_{s=1}^N W_\sigma(\sigma_s)}, \tag{2.1}$$

where $\mathfrak{N}$ is a normalized constant and $W_\sigma(\sigma)$ is a non-singular function of $\sigma$, which can be determined at least perturbatively by integrating out the massive modes for the original Chern-Simons matter theory. When the original theory has $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetry, the matrix model potential can be determined exactly by using the localization method [2]. For example, for $\mathcal{N} = 2$ $U(N)_k$ Chern-Simons theory with $N_F$ fundamental chiral multiplets,

[1] This restriction corresponds to that of the representation of the matter fields which will exclude higher-dimensional representations such as the adjoint one.

the partition function is of a form such that [2, 3]

$$Z^{\mathcal{N}=2}_{\square} = \mathfrak{N} \int_{\mathbf{R}^N} d^N\sigma \prod_{t\neq s}^{N} 2\sinh(\frac{\sigma_s-\sigma_t}{2}) e^{\sum_{s=1}^{N} -(\frac{ik}{4\pi}\sigma_s^2+\frac{1}{2}i\zeta\sigma_s)+N_F\ell(\frac{-i\sigma_s}{2\pi}+\frac{1}{2})}. \tag{2.2}$$

where $\ell(x) = -x\log(1-e^{2\pi i x}) + \frac{i}{2}(\pi x^2 + \mathrm{Li}_2(e^{2\pi i x})) - \frac{i\pi}{12}$, and $\zeta$ is the FI parameter, which is equivalent to the real mass parameter. Adding the same number of anti-fundamental chiral multiplets to this system, the partition function becomes

$$Z^{\mathcal{N}=2}_{\square,\bar{\square}} = \mathfrak{N} \int_{\mathbf{R}^N} d^N\sigma \prod_{t\neq s}^{N} 2\sinh(\frac{\sigma_s-\sigma_t}{2}) e^{\sum_{s=1}^{N} -(\frac{ik}{4\pi}\sigma_s^2+\frac{1}{2}i\zeta\sigma_s+N_F\log\cosh\frac{\sigma_i}{2})}. \tag{2.3}$$

For explicit computation we write the form of potential in (2.1) as

$$W_\sigma(\sigma) = \frac{1}{2\widetilde{\lambda}}\sigma^2 + \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} t_p e^{\sigma p} + \delta W_\sigma(\sigma) \tag{2.4}$$

where $\widetilde{\lambda}, t_p$ are parameters. In this parametrization the partition function of pure Chern-Simons theory on $\mathbf{S}^3$, which we briefly review in Appendix A, is given by

$$\widetilde{\lambda} = -2\pi i\frac{N}{k}, \quad \mathfrak{N} = \frac{e^{\frac{-\pi(N-1)N(N+1)}{6ik}} i^{\frac{N^2}{2}}}{(2\pi)^N N!}, \quad t_p = \delta W_\sigma = 0. \tag{2.5}$$

See (A.3) for the normalization. The first example (2.2) is

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{\widetilde{\lambda}} = \frac{ik}{2\pi N} + \frac{iN_F}{4\pi N}, \quad t_0 = -\frac{N_F}{N}\frac{i\pi}{24}, \quad t_p = \frac{iN_F}{2N}\frac{(-)^{p-1}}{p^2} \\ &\delta W_\sigma(\sigma) = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} u_p \sigma e^{\sigma p}, \quad u_0 = i\frac{\zeta}{2N} - \frac{N_F}{4N}, \quad u_p = \frac{iN_F}{2\pi N}\frac{(-)^p}{p}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

where $p \geq 1$. The second example (2.3) is

$$\widetilde{\lambda} = -2\pi i\frac{N}{k}, \quad t_0 = \frac{N_F}{N}\log 2, \quad t_p = \frac{N_F}{N}\frac{(-)^p}{p} \quad (p\geq 1), \quad \delta W_\sigma = -\frac{i}{2N}\zeta + \frac{N_F}{2N}. \tag{2.7}$$

The matrix model (2.1) can be recast in the same form as hermitian matrix models with positive eigenvalues. By changing integration variables so that $\phi_s = e^{\sigma_s}$, the partition function becomes

$$Z = \mathfrak{N} \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^N} d^N\phi \prod_{t\neq s}^{N} (\phi_s - \phi_t) e^{-N\sum_{s=1}^{N} W(\phi_s)} \tag{2.8}$$

where $\mathbf{R}_+$ represents the positive real axis and

$$W(\phi_s) = \frac{1}{2\widetilde{\lambda}}(\log\phi_s)^2 + \log\phi_s + \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} t_p \phi_s^p + \delta W(\phi_s) \tag{2.9}$$

where $\delta W(\phi_s)$ is analytic on the positive real axis. Note that the matrix model potential has the logarithmic cut on the negative real axis.

As a result the partition function can be written by using a positive definite hermitian matrix $\Phi$ as

$$Z \propto \int \mathcal{D}\Phi e^{-N\mathrm{Tr}W(\Phi)} \tag{2.10}$$

with $W(\Phi) = \frac{1}{2\widetilde{\lambda}}(\log\Phi)^2 + \log\Phi + \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} t_p\Phi^p + \delta W(\Phi)$. This suggests that this class of matrix models can be analyzed by using the standard technique employed in ordinary hermitian matrix models. In what follows we show that the free energy and correlators of some sector can be determined in order in the $1/N$ expansion.

# 3 Loop equation

There is a well-known method to determine the free energy in matrix models by using the so-called resolvent, which is defined as the the vacuum expectation value of the generating function of regular singlet operators:

$$\omega(z) := \frac{1}{N}\sum_{s=1}^{N}\left\langle \frac{1}{z-\phi_s}\right\rangle = \frac{1}{N}\mathrm{Tr}\left\langle\frac{1}{z-\Phi}\right\rangle = \frac{1}{N}\sum_{p\geq 0}\frac{\langle \mathrm{Tr}\Phi^p\rangle}{z^{p+1}}. \tag{3.1}$$

We remark that the resolvent is well-defined around the infinity and formally behaves as $\omega(z) \sim \frac{1}{z}$ in the vicinity of the infinity though this asymptotic behavior is not guaranteed due to the fact that the potential (2.9) has the logarithmic cut, which ends in the infinity. This suggests that the behavior of the resolvent around the infinity generally gets logarithmic correction. Still we can expect that there exists a limit approaching to the infinity such that the resolvent behaves as $\omega(z) \sim \frac{1}{z}$ on a certain patch. This request will be important to determine the resolvent later.

Once the resolvent is determined, the coupling dependence on $\{t_p\}$ of the free energy given by $F = -\log Z$ is determined by

$$\frac{d}{dT_z}F = N^2(\frac{1}{z} - \omega(z)) \tag{3.2}$$

where $\frac{d}{dT_z} = \sum_{p\geq 1}\frac{-1}{z^{p+1}}\frac{\partial}{\partial t_p}$. This can be seen from the fact that $\frac{\partial F}{\partial t_p} = N\langle \mathrm{Tr}\Phi^p\rangle$.

In order to determine the resolvent systematically, we first derive Schwinger-Dyson equation for a generic operator $\mathcal{O}[\phi]$. The vacuum expectation value of $\mathcal{O}[\phi]$ is defined by

$$\langle\mathcal{O}[\phi]\rangle = \frac{\mathfrak{N}}{Z}\int_{\mathbf{R}_+^N} d^N\phi\mathcal{O}[\phi]\left(\prod_{t\neq s}^{N}(\phi_s-\phi_t)e^{-N\sum_{s=1}^{N}W(\phi_s)}\right). \tag{3.3}$$

Consider a one-to-one transformation on $\mathbf{R}_+$ denoted by $\phi_s \to \phi'_s$. Then we obtain the identity such that

$$\frac{\mathfrak{N}}{Z}\int_{\mathbf{R}_+^N} d^N\phi \mathcal{O}[\phi]\Big(\prod_{t\neq s}^N(\phi_s-\phi_t)e^{-N\sum_{s=1}^N W(\phi_s)}\Big) = \frac{\mathfrak{N}}{Z}\int_{\mathbf{R}_+^N} d^N\phi' \mathcal{O}[\phi']\Big(\prod_{t\neq s}^N(\phi'_s-\phi'_t)e^{-N\sum_{s=1}^N W(\phi'_s)}\Big).$$

Suppose the (infinitesimal) transformation $\phi'_s = \phi_s + a\delta\phi_s$. Expanding the right-hand side in terms of $a$, we find that the zero-th order term cancels the left-hand side and the equation at the linear order gives the Schwinger-Dyson equation

$$\left\langle \sum_{s=1}^N (\mathcal{O}[\phi]\frac{\partial\delta\phi_s}{\partial\phi_s} + \frac{\partial\mathcal{O}[\phi]}{\partial\phi_s}\delta\phi_s) + 2\sum_{s>t}\frac{\mathcal{O}[\phi]}{\phi_s-\phi_t}(\delta\phi_s-\delta\phi_t) - \sum_{s=1}^N N\mathcal{O}[\phi]\frac{\partial W(\phi_s)}{\partial\phi_s}\delta\phi_s \right\rangle = 0. \tag{3.4}$$

To derive the loop equation from the Schwinger-Dyson equation let us choose $\mathcal{O} = 1, \delta\phi_s = \frac{\phi_s}{z-\phi_s}$. Then the transformation $\phi_s \to \phi'_s$ becomes one-to-one on $\mathbf{R}_+$, because $\delta\phi_s|_{\phi_s=0} = 0$, and $a\frac{\partial\delta\phi_s}{\partial\phi_s} = \frac{az}{(z-\phi_s)^2} > 0$ for $az > 0$. Then the left-hand side in the Schwinger-Dyson equation (3.4) is computed as

$$z\left\langle \sum_{s=1}^N \frac{1}{(z-\phi_s)^2} + 2\sum_{s>t}\frac{1}{(z-\phi_s)(z-\phi_t)} - \sum_{s=1}^N N\frac{\partial W(\phi_s)}{\partial\phi_s}\frac{1}{z-\phi_s}\right\rangle + \left\langle \sum_{s=1}^N N\frac{\partial W(\phi_s)}{\partial\phi_s}\right\rangle.$$

The first two terms are computed as

$$\left\langle \sum_{s=1}^N \frac{1}{(z-\phi_s)^2} + 2\sum_{s>t}\frac{1}{(z-\phi_s)(z-\phi_t)}\right\rangle = \sum_{s,t=1}^N\left\langle\frac{1}{(z-\phi_s)(z-\phi_t)}\right\rangle = \frac{d}{dT_z}\omega(z) + N^2\omega(z)^2.$$

The third term is

$$\left\langle -N\sum_{s=1}^N\frac{W'(\phi_s)}{z-\phi_s}\right\rangle = \left\langle -N\sum_{s=1}^N\int_{\mathbf{R}_+}dx\delta(x-\phi_s)\frac{W'(x)}{z-x}\right\rangle = -N^2\int_{\mathbf{R}_+}dx\rho(x)\frac{W'(x)}{z-x} \tag{3.5}$$

where we define the density function

$$\rho(x) := \frac{1}{N}\mathrm{Tr}\left\langle\delta(x-\Phi)\right\rangle = \frac{1}{N}\sum_{s=1}^N\left\langle\delta(x-\phi_s)\right\rangle. \tag{3.6}$$

Hereafter we assume $z$ is outside the support of the density function in order to exclude the case where (3.5) is divergent. The density function satisfies $\int_{\mathbf{R}_+}dx\rho(x) = 1$. The density function can be computed by evaluating the discontinuity of the resolvent across the real axis. Indeed, by using the formula

$$\frac{1}{x\mp i\epsilon} = \mathcal{P}\frac{1}{x} \pm \pi i\delta(x) \tag{3.7}$$

where $x$ is a real number, $\epsilon$ is an infinitely-small positive number and $\mathcal{P}$ denotes the principal value, the discontinuity of the resolvent between $x \pm i\epsilon$ is computed as

$$\omega(x - i\epsilon) - \omega(x + i\epsilon) = 2\pi i \rho(x). \tag{3.8}$$

By using this relation, (3.5) can be rewritten as

$$\left\langle -N \sum_{s=1}^{N} \frac{W'(\phi_s)}{z - \phi_s} \right\rangle = -N^2 \oint_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}_+}} \frac{dw}{2\pi i} \frac{W'(w)}{z - w} \omega(w) \tag{3.9}$$

where $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}_+}$ denotes a circle encircling $\mathbf{R}_+$ counterclockwise. The 4th term vanishes because

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\langle \sum_n NW'(\phi_n) \right\rangle =& \frac{\mathfrak{N}}{Z} \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^N} d^N\phi \sum_n NW'(\phi_n) \prod_{s \neq t} (\phi_s - \phi_t) e^{-\sum_{s=1}^N NW(\phi_s)} \\
=& \frac{\mathfrak{N}}{Z} \sum_n \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^N} d^N\phi \prod_{s \neq t} (\phi_s - \phi_t) (-\frac{\partial}{\partial \phi_n} e^{-\sum_{s=1}^N NW(\phi_s)}) \\
=& \frac{\mathfrak{N}}{Z} \sum_n \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^N} d^N\phi (\frac{\partial}{\partial \phi_n} \prod_{s \neq t} (\phi_s - \phi_t)) e^{-\sum_{s=1}^N NW(\phi_s)} \\
=& \sum_n \left\langle \sum_{t \neq n} \frac{2}{\phi_n - \phi_t} \right\rangle = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

Collecting these we obtain the loop equation

$$\omega(z)^2 - \oint_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}_+}} \frac{dw}{2\pi i} \frac{W'(w)}{z - w} \omega(w) + \frac{1}{N^2} \frac{d}{dT_z} \omega(z) = 0. \tag{3.11}$$

Note that this is of the same form as that of ordinary matrix models except the integration region.

Once the resolvent is determined by the loop equation, so are the density function by (3.8) and the coupling dependence on $\{t_p\}$ of the free energy by (3.2), and similarly for other coupling dependence. For example, when $\delta W(\Phi) = \sum_{p \geq 0} u_p \Phi^p \log \Phi$, the other copling dependence on $\{u_p\}$ of the free energy is determined by

$$\frac{d}{dU_z} F = -N^2 \upsilon(z) \tag{3.12}$$

where $\frac{d}{dU_z} = \sum_{p \geq 0} \frac{-1}{z^{p+1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_p}$ and $\upsilon(z)$ is the vacuum expectation value of the generating function of singlet operators of the form $\mathrm{Tr}(\Phi^p \log \Phi)$:

$$\upsilon(z) := \frac{1}{N} \mathrm{Tr} \left\langle \frac{\log \Phi}{z - \Phi} \right\rangle = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{s=1}^{N} \left\langle \frac{\log \phi_s}{z - \phi_s} \right\rangle, \tag{3.13}$$

which is computed by using the resolvent $\omega(z)$ as

$$\upsilon(z) = \int_{\mathbf{R}_+} dx\rho(x)\frac{\log x}{z-x} = \oint_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}_+}} \frac{dw}{2\pi i}\omega(w)\frac{\log w}{z-w}. \tag{3.14}$$

Similarly the $\widetilde{\lambda}$ dependence of the free energy is determined as

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial F}{\partial \widetilde{\lambda}} =& -\frac{\partial \log \mathfrak{N}}{\partial \widetilde{\lambda}} - \frac{N}{2\widetilde{\lambda}^2}\left\langle \sum_{s=1}^{N} (\log \phi_s)^2 \right\rangle = -\frac{\partial \log \mathfrak{N}}{\partial \widetilde{\lambda}} - \frac{N^2}{2\widetilde{\lambda}^2}\int_{\mathbf{R}_+} dx\rho(x)(\log x)^2 \\ =& -\frac{\partial \log \mathfrak{N}}{\partial \widetilde{\lambda}} - \frac{N^2}{2\widetilde{\lambda}^2}\oint_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}_+}} \frac{dw}{2\pi i}\omega(w)(\log w)^2. \end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

Acting $\frac{d}{dT_z}$ and $\frac{d}{dU_z}$ on the free energy gives correlators of singlet operators such that

$$(\prod_{l=1}^{m}\frac{d}{dU_{w_l}})(\prod_{k=1}^{n}\frac{d}{dT_{z_k}})(-F) = N^{n+m}\left\langle \prod_{l=1}^{m}\mathrm{Tr}(\frac{\log\Phi}{w_l-\Phi})\prod_{k=1}^{n}\mathrm{Tr}(\frac{1}{z_k-\Phi})\right\rangle_{\mathrm{conn}} \tag{3.16}$$

with $n > 1$, where the subscript conn means the connected part of the correlator. It is also possible to compute correlators including some number of the operator $\mathrm{Tr}(\log\Phi)^2$ by differentiating the free energy several times with respect to $\widetilde{\lambda}$.

We remark that it is not guaranteed and has to be confirmed that correlators computed this way agree with those computed from the original theory by using the path integral.[2] In other words, the potential of the matrix model generally depends on operators inserted in the path integral. This will be easily seen by considering a partition function of some supersymmetric theory computed by using the localization method. Since correlators of non-supersymmetric operators cannot be computed by the exact method, the potential of the matrix model cannot be reused to compute correlators of non-supersymmetric operators. In this context the matrix model potential is available when operators inserted in the path integral or parameters deforming the original theory maintain supersymmetry.

# 4 Solution of the loop equation

In this section we solve the loop equation (3.11) in the $1/N$ expansion. Analysis will depend on the large $N$ behavior of the potential. Generically it can be written as

$$W(\phi) = W_0(\phi) + \frac{1}{N}W_1(\phi), \tag{4.1}$$

where $W_0(\phi), W_1(\phi)$ do not depend on $N$. For explicit calculation maintaining certain extent of generality we study the case where the potential is given by (2.9) with $\widetilde{\lambda}, t_p$ of order one:

$$W_0(\phi) = \frac{1}{2\widetilde{\lambda}}(\log\phi)^2 + \log\phi + \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} t_p\phi^p + \cdots. \tag{4.2}$$

[2] The author would like to thank S. Sugimoto for discussion on this point.

In the examples of supersymmetric Chern-Simons theory in §2, this case corresponds to the 't Hooft limit with the number of flavors $N_F$ of order $N$. Accordingly the consistent $1/N$ expansion of the resolvent will be such that

$$\omega(z) = \sum_{g=0}^{\infty} (N^{-2g}\omega_g(z) + N^{-2g-1}\omega_{g+\frac{1}{2}}(z)) = \sum_{\bar{g}\in\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{N}} N^{-2\bar{g}}\omega_{\bar{g}}(z). \tag{4.3}$$

Plugging this into the loop equation and expanding with respect to $1/N$ the loop equation is decomposed as follows. For $g = 0$,

$$\omega_0^2(z) = \oint_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}_+}} \frac{dw}{2\pi i} \frac{W_0'(w)\omega_0(w)}{z-w}, \tag{4.4}$$

$$\hat{K}\omega_{\frac{1}{2}}(z) = -\oint_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}_+}} \frac{dw}{2\pi i} \frac{W_1'(w)\omega_0(w)}{z-w}, \tag{4.5}$$

which we call the genus zero and half loop equation respectively for convenience, and for $g \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{K}\omega_g(z) =& \sum_{g'=1}^{g-1} \omega_{g'}(z)\omega_{g-g'}(z) + \sum_{g'=0}^{g-1} \omega_{g'+\frac{1}{2}}(z)\omega_{g-g'-\frac{1}{2}}(z) - \oint_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}_+}} \frac{dw}{2\pi i} \frac{W_1'(w)\omega_{g-\frac{1}{2}}(w)}{z-w} + \frac{d}{dT_z}\omega_{g-1}(z), \\
\hat{K}\omega_{g+\frac{1}{2}}(z) =& 2\omega_g(z)\omega_{\frac{1}{2}}(z) + \sum_{g'=1}^{g-1} 2\omega_{g'}(z)\omega_{g-g'+\frac{1}{2}}(z) - \oint_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}_+}} \frac{dw}{2\pi i} \frac{W_1'(w)\omega_g(w)}{z-w} + \frac{d}{dT_z}\omega_{g-\frac{1}{2}}(z),
\end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

where we define

$$\hat{K}f(z) := \oint_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}_+}} \frac{dw}{2\pi i} \frac{W_0'(w)}{z-w} f(w) - 2\omega_0(z)f(z). \tag{4.7}$$

From these equations $\omega_{\bar{g}}(z)$ can be determined in order from $\bar{g} = 0$. Once the resolvent is determined at the order $\bar{g}$ in the $1/N$ expansion, so is the density function from (3.8) as

$$\rho_{\bar{g}}(x) = \frac{\omega_{\bar{g}}(x - i\epsilon) - \omega_{\bar{g}}(x + i\epsilon)}{2\pi i}, \tag{4.8}$$

where $\rho(z) = \sum_{g=0}^{\infty}(N^{-2g}\rho_g(z) + N^{-2g-1}\rho_{g+\frac{1}{2}}(z)) = \sum_{\bar{g}\in\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{N}} N^{-2\bar{g}}\rho_{\bar{g}}(z)$. The coupling dependence of the free energy on $t_p$ is determined from (3.2) as

$$\frac{d}{dT_z}F_0 = \frac{1}{z} - \omega_0(z), \quad \frac{d}{dT_z}F_{\bar{g}} = -\omega_{\bar{g}}(z) \tag{4.9}$$

where $\bar{g} \geq \frac{1}{2}$ and $F = \sum_{g=0}^{\infty}(N^{2-2g}F_g + N^{1-2g}F_{g+\frac{1}{2}}) = \sum_{\bar{g}\in\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{N}} N^{2-2\bar{g}}F_{\bar{g}}$.

## 4.1 Planar solution

Let us solve the planar loop equation (4.4). First we show that the planar loop equation contains the saddle point equation of the starting matrix model in the large $N$ limit. For this purpose we compute discontinuity of both sides in (4.4) between $x - i\epsilon$ and $x + i\epsilon$. The discontinuity of the left-hand side is

$$(\omega_0(x - i\epsilon) - \omega_0(x + i\epsilon))(\omega_0(x - i\epsilon) + \omega_0(x + i\epsilon)) = 2\pi i\rho_0(x)(\omega_0(x - i\epsilon) + \omega_0(x + i\epsilon)),$$

where we used (4.8). That of the right-hand side is

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}_+} dy W_0'(y)(\frac{\rho_0(y)}{x - i\epsilon - y} - \frac{\rho_0(y)}{x + i\epsilon - y}) = \int_{\mathbf{R}_+} dy W_0'(y)\rho_0(y)2\pi i\delta(x - y) = W_0'(x)2\pi i\rho_0(x).$$

Therefore we obtain

$$\omega_0(x - i\epsilon) + \omega_0(x + i\epsilon) = W_0'(x) \tag{4.10}$$

with $x$ in the support of the density function in the leading of the $1/N$ expansion. This is the same as the saddle point equation derived from the starting matrix model (2.8) in the large $N$ limit.

Suppose that the support of the density function consists of $s$ distinct connected intervals, $\mathrm{supp}(\rho_0) = \cup_{i=1}^{s}[a_{2i-1}, a_{2i}]$ where $0 < a_1 < \cdots < a_{2s}$. Taking account of the fact that the loop planar equation (4.4) is quadratic, we make each interval correspond to a square root cut of the solution. Under this ansatz we solve (4.10). Let us consider a trial function $H(z)$ which sees the deviation of the resolvent from the $s$-cut square root function $h(z) = \sqrt{\prod_{i=1}^{2s}(z - a_i)}$:

$$\omega_0(z) = h(z)H(z). \tag{4.11}$$

As mentioned in the previous section, we solve the loop equation so that the resolvent behaves as $\omega_0(z) \sim \frac{1}{z}$ in a limit approaching the infinity. This suggests that the trial function behaves as $H(z) \sim \frac{1}{z^{s+1}}$ up to the signature and thus is analytic around the infinity. Therefore using the Cauchy theorem we find[3]

$$\oint_{\mathcal{C}_\infty} \frac{dw}{2\pi i}\frac{H(w)}{w - z} = 0, \tag{4.12}$$

where $z$ is a complex number outside $\mathrm{supp}(\rho_0)$ and $\mathcal{C}_\infty$ is an infinitely large circle. Assuming further that the trial function is analytic except the support of the leading density function, we can compute the left-hand side by deforming the contour to the non-analytic region:

$$H(z) + \oint_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{supp}(\rho_0)}} \frac{dw}{2\pi i}\frac{H(w)}{w - z} = H(z) + \oint_{\mathrm{supp}(\rho_0)} \frac{dy}{2\pi i}\frac{W_0'(y)}{(y - z)h(y)}, \tag{4.13}$$

[3] One may more generally conclude that, for example, $\oint_{\mathcal{C}_\infty} \frac{dw}{2\pi i}\frac{(w^s + P_1(z)w^{s-1} + \cdots + P_{s-1}(z))H(w)}{w-z} = 0$, where $P_i(z)$ are polynomials of $z$. The resolvent obtained from this form in the same way as described below at first looks different from (4.15) but reduce to the same form by using the boundary condition, which is the same as (4.17). We give a comment on this point below.

where we used (4.10) in advance and $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{supp}(\rho_0)}$ denotes a circle encircling the intervals $\mathrm{supp}(\rho_0)$ counterclockwise. Therefore the trial function is determined as

$$H(z) = -\fint_{\mathrm{supp}(\rho_0)} \frac{dy}{2\pi i} \frac{W_0'(y)}{(y-z)h(y)} = -\oint_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{supp}(\rho_0)}} \frac{dw}{2\pi i} \frac{W_0'(w)}{(w-z)h(w)} \frac{1}{2}, \tag{4.14}$$

so is the planar resolvent:

$$\omega_0(z) = \frac{-h(z)}{2} \oint_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{supp}(\rho_0)}} \frac{dw}{2\pi i} \frac{W_0'(w)}{(w-z)h(w)}. \tag{4.15}$$

Then the planar density function is computed from (4.8) as

$$\rho_0(x) = \frac{h(x)}{\pi i} \fint_{\mathrm{supp}(\rho_0)} \frac{dy}{2\pi i} \frac{W_0'(y)}{(x-y)h(y)} \tag{4.16}$$

with $x \in \mathrm{supp}(\rho_0) = \cup_{i=1}^{s}[a_{2i-1}, a_{2i}]$ and $h(x) := h(x - i\epsilon)$ for $x \in \mathbf{R}_+$.

The endpoints of the cuts are determined in the following way. Assume the solution obtained above behaves asymptotically as $\omega_0(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \cdots$ approaching to the infinity. This is satisfied if and only if

$$\frac{1}{2} \oint_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{supp}(\rho_0)}} \frac{dw}{2\pi i} \frac{w^k W_0'(w)}{h(w)} = \pm\delta_{k,s} \qquad \forall k = 0, \cdots, s, \tag{4.17}$$

where the signature is chosen suitable. These give $s+1$ constraints for $2s$ endpoints of the cuts, which is not sufficient unless $s = 1$. For $s \geq 2$ case, the residual conditions are provided by stability against the tunneling of eigenvalues between different cuts [34]. We demonstrate the residual condition following [35]. First we write the total matrix model potential in terms of the density function in the large $N$ limit.

$$\frac{V_{\mathrm{tot}}}{N^2} = \int_{\mathbf{R}_+} dx \varrho_0(x) W_0(x) - \fint_{\mathbf{R}_+} dx dy \varrho_0(x)\varrho_0(y) \log|x-y| - \mu\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}_+} dx \varrho_0(x) - 1\right), \tag{4.18}$$

where $\mu$ is Lagrange multiplier and $\varrho_0$ is the dynamical planar density function determined by the saddle point equation

$$W_0(x) - 2\fint_{\mathbf{R}_+} dy \varrho_0(y) \log|x-y| - \mu = 0. \tag{4.19}$$

Differentiating this with respect to $x$ leads to (4.10), which we solved as (4.15). This suggests that integrating (4.10) with respect to $x$ does not get back to (4.19) because the density function is not analytic on the edges of the cuts so integration of (4.10) takes different values on every intervals in general. Requiring those values to be the same (as $\mu$)

gives a nontrivial condition.[4] To write down the condition we define a function $\widetilde{\mu}$ on $\mathbf{R}_+$ by

$$\widetilde{\mu}(x):=\mathrm{Re}\left[W_0(x) - 2\fint_{\mathbf{R}_+} dy\widetilde{\rho}_0(y)\log(x-y)\right] \tag{4.20}$$

where $\widetilde{\rho}_0(y)$ is defined by the analytic continuation of $\rho_0(y)$ from an interval to the whole positive real axis. Then the function $\widetilde{\rho}_0(x)$ takes pure imaginary values outside $\mathrm{supp}(\rho_0)$. Differentiating with respect to $x$ gives $\widetilde{\mu}(x)' = \mathrm{Re}[-2\pi i\widetilde{\rho}_0(x)]$, which suggests that the derivative of $\widetilde{\mu}(x)$ vanishes on each cut and thus $\widetilde{\mu}(x)$ is constant on each cut, as expected. The condition for all of these constants to be equal can be written as $\widetilde{\mu}(a_{2i}-\epsilon) = \widetilde{\mu}(a_{2i+1}+\epsilon)$ for $\forall i = 1, 2, \cdots, s-1$. Since

$$\widetilde{\mu}(a_{2i+1}+\epsilon) - \widetilde{\mu}(a_{2i}-\epsilon) = \int_{a_{2i}-\epsilon}^{a_{2i+1}+\epsilon} dx\widetilde{\mu}(x)' = \int_{a_{2i}-\epsilon}^{a_{2i+1}+\epsilon} dx(-2\pi i\widetilde{\rho}_0(x)) = -\oint_{\beta_i} dw\omega_0(w)$$

where $\beta_i = \mathcal{C}_{[a_{2i},a_{2i+1}]}$ is a circle encircling the interval $[a_{2i}, a_{2i+1}]$ counterclockwise, we obtain[5]

$$\int_{a_{2i}-\epsilon}^{a_{2i+1}+\epsilon} dx\widetilde{\rho}_0(x) = 0 \qquad \text{or} \qquad \oint_{\beta_i} dw\omega_0(w) = 0 \tag{4.21}$$

for $\forall i = 1, 2, \cdots, s-1$. These yield the residual $s-1$ constraint equations to fix the $2s$ endpoints of the cuts.

There is a comment on the solution (4.15). By using the condition (4.17) the solution can be rewritten in a different form such as

$$\omega_0(z) = \frac{-h(z)}{2z^k}\oint_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{supp}(\rho_0)}} \frac{dw}{2\pi i}\frac{w^k W_0'(w)}{(w-z)h(w)} \tag{4.22}$$

where $k = 0, 1, \cdots, s$. On the other hand, this form of solution can be obtained directly by starting with a different equation from (4.12) as mentioned in the footnote 3. Then the cuts can be determined not only by the asymptotic condition $\omega_0(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \cdots$ with $z \sim \infty$, but also the fact that $\omega_0(z)$ is non-singular around $z \sim 0$. These two conditions give the condition (4.17).

The free energy in the leading of the $1/N$ expansion is easily determined as

$$F_0 = V_{\mathrm{tot}} - \lim_{N\to\infty}\frac{\log\mathfrak{N}}{N^2} \tag{4.23}$$

with (4.19). From this expression, we can reproduce the derivatives of the free energy with respect to the coupling constants obtained in the previous section. For example, acting

[4] It is possible to consider a case where the Lagrange multiplier in (4.18) takes different values on each interval. In this case their values become parameters of the theory and play a role of a kind of chemical potentials.

[5] Chemical potentials mentioned in the footnote 4 can be added in a way that $\oint_{\beta_i} dw\omega_0(w) = \mu_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \cdots, s-1$.

$\frac{d}{dT_z}$ on (4.23) yields

$$\frac{dF_0}{dT_z} = \int_{\mathbf{R}_+} dx \rho_0(x) \frac{dW_0(x)}{dT_z} = \int_{\mathbf{R}_+} dx \rho_0(x) \frac{-x}{z(z-x)} = \frac{1}{z} - \int_{\mathbf{R}_+} dx \frac{\rho_0(x)}{z-x}, \tag{4.24}$$

where we used (4.19), $\int_{\mathbf{R}_+} dx \rho_0(x) = 1$ and (4.2). This is nothing but the equation (4.9).

## 4.2 Hole correction

The hole correction of the resolvent is determined by the genus half loop equation (4.5). Let us derive the saddle point equation at this order from (4.5). For this purpose let us rewrite (4.5) as

$$\oint_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{R}_+}} \frac{dw}{2\pi i} \frac{W_1'(w)\omega_0(w) + W_0'(w)\omega_{\frac{1}{2}}(w)}{z-w} - 2\omega_0(z)\omega_{\frac{1}{2}}(z) = 0. \tag{4.25}$$

As the planar case we compute discontinuity of the left-hand side between $x - i\epsilon$ and $x + i\epsilon$. The discontinuity of the first term is computed as

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}_+} dy (W_1'(y)\rho_0(y) + W_0'(y)\rho_{\frac{1}{2}}(y))(\frac{1}{x - i\epsilon - y} - \frac{1}{x + i\epsilon - y}) = 2\pi i (W_1'(x)\rho_0(x) + W_0'(x)\rho_{\frac{1}{2}}(x)).$$

That of the second term is

$$-2\pi i (W_1'(x)(\omega_{\frac{1}{2}}(x - i\epsilon) + \omega_{\frac{1}{2}}(x + i\epsilon)) + W_0'(x)\rho_{\frac{1}{2}}(x)).$$

Therefore we obtain

$$\omega_{\frac{1}{2}}(x - i\epsilon) + \omega_{\frac{1}{2}}(x + i\epsilon) = W_1'(x) \tag{4.26}$$

with $x \in \mathrm{supp}(\rho_0)$. We leave the analysis to generally solve this genus half loop equation to future work.

## 4.3 Genus one correction

Let us determine the genus one correction of the resolvent. For simplicity let us consider the case where $W_1 = 0$, so $\omega_{\frac{1}{2}} = 0$. In this case the genes one loop equation, (4.6) with $g = 1$, reduces to

$$\hat{K}\omega_1(z) = \frac{d}{dT_z}\omega_0(z). \tag{4.27}$$

This can be solved in the same manner as in the ordinary hermitian matrix model [30, 31]. Let us first compute the right-hand side.

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d\omega_0(z)}{dT_z} =& \frac{d\log h(z)}{dT_z}\omega_0(z) + \frac{h(z)}{2}\oint_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{supp}(\rho_0)}} \frac{dw}{2\pi i} \frac{\frac{dW_0'(w)}{dT_z} - W_0'(w)\frac{d\log h(w)}{dT_z}}{(z-w)h(w)} \\
=& \frac{d\log h(z)}{dT_z}\omega_0(z) + \frac{h(z)}{2}\oint_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{supp}(\rho_0)}} \frac{dw}{2\pi i} \frac{-1}{(z-w)^3 h(w)} + \frac{h(z)}{2}\oint_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{supp}(\rho_0)}} \frac{dw}{2\pi i} \frac{-W_0'(w)\frac{d\log h(w)}{dT_z}}{(z-w)h(w)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then the second term is computed as

$$\frac{h(z)}{2}\oint_{\mathcal{C}_z}\frac{dw}{2\pi i}\frac{1}{(z-w)^3h(w)}=-\frac{h(z)}{2}\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{h(z)})''=\frac{-1}{4}(\frac{3}{4}\sum_{i=1}^{2s}\frac{1}{(z-a_i)^2}+\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i<j}\frac{1}{(z-a_i)(z-a_j)}).$$

The third term is

$$\frac{h(z)}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{2s}\frac{d(-a_i)}{dV_z}\oint_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{supp}(\rho_0)}}\frac{dw}{2\pi i}\frac{-W_0'(w)}{2(z-w)h(w)(w-a_i)}=-\frac{d\log h(z)}{dT_z}\omega_0(z)+\sum_{i=1}^{2s}\frac{da_i}{dT_z}\frac{1}{z-a_i}\frac{1}{4}h(z)M_i^{(1)},$$

where we set

$$M_i^{(k)}:=\oint_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{supp}(\rho_0)}}\frac{dw}{2\pi i}\frac{W_0'(w)}{h(w)(w-a_i)^k}. \tag{4.28}$$

Therefore we obtain

$$\frac{d\omega_0(z)}{dT_z}=-(\frac{3}{16}\sum_{i=1}^{2s}\frac{1}{(z-a_i)^2}+\frac{1}{8}\sum_{i<j}\frac{1}{(z-a_i)(z-a_j)})+\sum_{i=1}^{2s}\frac{da_i}{dT_z}\frac{1}{z-a_i}\frac{1}{4}h(z)M_i^{(1)}. \tag{4.29}$$

In order to compute $\frac{da_i}{dT_z}$, we act $\frac{d}{dT_z}$ on the constraint equations of the edges of the cuts, (4.17), (4.21).

$$\oint_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{supp}(\rho_0)}}\frac{dw}{2\pi i}w^k\frac{\frac{d}{dT_z}W_0'(w)-W_0'(w)\frac{d}{dT_z}\log h(w)}{h(w)}=0,\qquad k=0,1,\cdots,s, \tag{4.30}$$

$$\int_{a_{2l}}^{a_{2l+1}}dx\frac{d}{dT_z}(W_0'(x)-2\omega_0(x))=0,\qquad l=1,2,\cdots,s-1 \tag{4.31}$$

where we used $2\pi i\widetilde{\rho}_0(x)=W_0'(x)-2\omega_0(x)$. These are computed as

$$\frac{kz^{k-1}}{h(z)}+\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{2s}(a_i^k\frac{da_i}{dV_z}M_i^{(1)}-\frac{z^k}{h(z)(z-a_i)})=0, \tag{4.32}$$

$$\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{2s}\frac{K_{l,i}}{h(z)(z-a_i)}+\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{2s}K_{l,i}\frac{d(-a_i)}{dV_z}M_i^{(1)}=0, \tag{4.33}$$

where $K_{l,i}:=\int_{a_{2l}}^{a_{2l+1}}dx\frac{h(x)}{(x-a_i)}$. The solution can be written as

$$\frac{da_i}{dT_z}=\frac{1}{M_i^{(1)}}(\frac{1}{h(z)(z-a_i)}+\sum_{l'=0}^{s-2}\alpha_{i,l'}\frac{z^{l'}}{h(z)}) \tag{4.34}$$

where $\alpha_{i,l}$ are determined by plugging this back and setting the coefficients of polynomials with respect to $z$ to zero. The determining equations of $\alpha_{i,l}$ are

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2s}(a_i^k\alpha_{i,l'}-a_i^{k-1-l'})=0,\quad (0\le l'\le k-2),\quad \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{2s}a_i^k\alpha_{i,k-1}+k-\frac{1}{2}=0, \tag{4.35}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2s}a_i^k\alpha_{i,l'}=0,\quad (k\le l'\le s-2), \tag{4.36}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2s}K_{l,i}\alpha_{i,l'}=0,\quad (1\le l\le s-1,\ 0\le l'\le s-2). \tag{4.37}$$

Substituting (4.34) into (4.29) we find

$$\frac{d\omega_0(z)}{dT_z}=\frac{1}{16}\sum_i\frac{1}{(z-a_i)^2}-\frac{1}{8}\sum_{i<j}\frac{1}{(z-a_i)(z-a_j)}+\frac{1}{4}\sum_{i=1}^{2s}\sum_{l'=0}^{s-2}\frac{1}{z-a_i}\alpha_{i,l'}a_i^{l'}. \tag{4.38}$$

This can be rewritten as the image of the linear operator $\hat{K}$ in such a way that

$$\frac{d\omega_0(z)}{dT_z}=\hat{K}\left[\frac{1}{16}\sum_i\chi_i^{(2)}(z)-\frac{1}{8}\sum_{i<j}\frac{\chi_i^{(1)}(z)-\chi_j^{(1)}(z)}{a_i-a_j}+\frac{1}{4}\sum_{i=1}^{2s}\sum_{l'=0}^{s-2}\chi_i^{(1)}(z)\alpha_{i,l'}a_i^{l'}\right], \tag{4.39}$$

where $\chi_i^{(n)}(z)$ satisfies $\hat{K}\chi_i^{(n)}(z)=\frac{1}{(z-a_i)^n}$ for $n\ge 1$. $\chi_i^{(n)}(z)$ is constructed inductively as follows. Start with the identity such that

$$\frac{1}{(z-w)(w-a_i)^{\mathfrak{n}}}=\frac{1}{(z-w)(z-a_i)^{\mathfrak{n}}}+\sum_{k=1}^{\mathfrak{n}}\frac{1}{(z-a_i)^k(w-a_i)^{\mathfrak{n}-k+1}} \tag{4.40}$$

for $\forall\mathfrak{n}\ge 1$. Acting $\oint_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{supp}(\rho_0)}}\frac{dw}{2\pi i}\frac{W_0'(w)}{h(w)}$ on both sides and computing the right-hand side results in

$$\int_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{supp}(\rho_0)}}\frac{dw}{2\pi i}\frac{W_0'(w)}{h(w)}\frac{1}{(z-w)(w-a_i)^{\mathfrak{n}}}=2\omega_0(z)\frac{1}{h(z)(z-a_i)^{\mathfrak{n}}}+\sum_{k=1}^{\mathfrak{n}}\frac{M_i^{(\mathfrak{n}-k+1)}}{(z-a_i)^k}. \tag{4.41}$$

Equivalently,

$$\hat{K}(\frac{1}{h(z)(z-a_i)^{\mathfrak{n}}})=\sum_{k=1}^{\mathfrak{n}}\frac{M_i^{(\mathfrak{n}-k+1)}}{(z-a_i)^k}. \tag{4.42}$$

The case with $\mathfrak{n}=1$ implies $\chi_i^{(1)}(z)=\frac{1}{M_i^{(1)}}\frac{1}{h(z)(z-a_i)}$. Assuming $\chi_i^{(n)}(z)$ is constructed so that $\hat{K}\chi_i^{(n)}(z)=\frac{1}{(z-a_i)^n}$ is valid for $n\le\mathfrak{n}-1$, we can rewrite the right-hand side as $\frac{M_i^{(1)}}{(z-a_i)^{\mathfrak{n}}}+\sum_{k=1}^{\mathfrak{n}-1}M_i^{(\mathfrak{n}-k+1)}\hat{K}\chi_i^{(k)}(z)$. Therefore we find

$$\hat{K}\left(\frac{1}{M_i^{(1)}}\left(\frac{1}{h(z)(z-a_i)^{\mathfrak{n}}}-\sum_{k=1}^{\mathfrak{n}-1}M_i^{(\mathfrak{n}-k+1)}\chi_i^{(k)}(z)\right)\right)=\frac{1}{(z-a_i)^{\mathfrak{n}}}. \tag{4.43}$$

Hence if we define $\chi_i^{(\mathfrak{n})}(z)$ by

$$\chi_i^{(\mathfrak{n})}(z) = \frac{1}{M_i^{(1)}} \left( \frac{1}{h(z)(z-a_i)^{\mathfrak{n}}} - \sum_{k=1}^{\mathfrak{n}-1} M_i^{(\mathfrak{n}-k+1)} \chi_i^{(k)}(z) \right), \tag{4.44}$$

then $\hat{K}\chi_i^{(n)}(z) = \frac{1}{(z-a_i)^n}$ is valid for $n = \mathfrak{n}$. By using this function we finally solve the genus one loop equation as

$$\omega_1(z) = \frac{1}{16} \sum_{i=1}^{2s} \chi_i^{(2)}(z) - \frac{1}{8} \sum_{i<j} \frac{\chi_i^{(1)}(z) - \chi_j^{(1)}(z)}{a_i - a_j} + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{2s} \sum_{l'=0}^{s-2} \chi_i^{(1)}(z) \alpha_{i,l'} a_i^{l'} \tag{4.45}$$

up to terms in the kernel of the operator $\hat{K}$ such as $\frac{z^m}{h(z)}$ with $m = 0, \cdots, s$. From this the coupling dependence of the genus one free energy can be determined. For example the dependence on $t_p$ is determined by (4.9) and that on $\widetilde{\lambda}$ is by (3.15).[6]

## 4.4 Application to pure Chern-Simons theory

In this subsection we apply the presented formulation to the three sphere partition function in $U(N)_k$ pure Chern-Simons theory to test this framework by comparing the exact result known for pure Chern-Simons theory as reviewed in Appendix A. The matrix model potential for pure Chern-Simons theory is given by (2.8) with (2.5). Then $W_0'(w) = \frac{\log w}{w\widetilde{\lambda}} + \frac{1}{w}$.

Let us first determine the planar resolvent. For $\widetilde{\lambda} > 0$, the potential has only one stable minimum so we have only to consider a solution with one cut: $\mathrm{supp}(\rho_0) = [a_-, a_+]$ with $0 < a_- < a_+$. In order to simplify the integration we start with the solution of the form (4.22) with $k = 1$:

$$\omega_0(z) = \frac{-h(z)}{2z} \oint_{\mathcal{C}_{[a_-,a_+]}} \frac{dw}{2\pi i} \frac{\frac{\log w}{\widetilde{\lambda}} + 1}{(w-z)h(w)} \tag{4.46}$$

where $h(z) = \sqrt{(z-a_-)(z-a_+)}$. Inflating the contour we can compute the right-hand side as

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_0(z) =& \frac{h(z)}{2z} \oint_{\mathcal{C}_{(-\infty,0]}} \frac{dw}{2\pi i} \frac{\frac{\log w}{\widetilde{\lambda}}}{(w-z)h(w)} + \frac{h(z)}{2z} \frac{\frac{\log z}{\widetilde{\lambda}} + 1}{h(z)} \\ =& \frac{\log \frac{(a_-+a_+)z - 2a_-a_+ - 2\sqrt{a_-a_+}h(z)}{(-a_- - a_+ - 2h(z) + 2z)}}{2\widetilde{\lambda}z} + \frac{1}{2z}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.47}$$

[6] The differential equation (4.9) will be solved as done in the originary hermitian matrix model for one cut case [30] and two cut case [31], though such explicit solutions of the genus one free energy do not contain the information of the dependence on other coupling constants such as $\widetilde{\lambda}$.



(a) (b)

Figure 1: In Fig.1(a), the blue and yellow curves depict the matrix model potential and the planar density function, respectively, when $\widetilde{\lambda} = 0.5$. Eigenvalues tend to clump around the potential minimum. In Fig.1(b), the differentiation of the planar free energy with respect to $\widetilde{\lambda}$ is plotted. The blue curve depicts the result obtained by the resolvent method and the yellow one from the past exact result. They almost coincide.

where we computed the first term as

$$\frac{h(z)}{2\widetilde{\lambda}z}\int_{-\infty}^{0}\frac{dw}{2\pi i}\frac{(\log|w|-\pi i)-(\log|w|+\pi i)}{(w-z)h(w)} = \frac{h(z)}{2\widetilde{\lambda}z}\frac{\log\frac{(a_-+a_+)z-2a_-a_+-2\sqrt{a_-a_+}h(z)}{z(-a_--a_+-2h(z)+2z)}}{h(z)}. \quad (4.48)$$

The edges of the cut $a_-, a_+$ are determined by the asymptotic behavior around the infinity and the regularity around the origin. $\omega_0(z)$ can approach to $\frac{1}{z}$ when $z \to -\infty$, which is achieved if and only if $\log\frac{a_-+a_++2\sqrt{a_-a_+}}{2+2} = \widetilde{\lambda}$. $\omega_0$ is regular at the origin if and only if $\log\frac{-4a_-a_+}{-a_--2\sqrt{a_-a_+}-a_+} = -\widetilde{\lambda}$. These can be solved as $a_\pm = (e^{\frac{\widetilde{\lambda}}{2}} \pm \sqrt{e^{\widetilde{\lambda}}-1})^2$. Note that $a_-a_+ = 1$. Then the planar resolvent can be simplified as

$$\omega_0(z) = \frac{1}{\widetilde{\lambda}z}\log\frac{z+1+h(z)}{2}. \quad (4.49)$$

The planar density function is computed as

$$\rho_0(x) = \frac{\tan^{-1}\frac{\sqrt{(x-a_-)(a_+-x)}}{1+x}}{\pi\widetilde{\lambda}x}. \quad (4.50)$$

This solution essentially matches the one given in [33]. We plot the density function as well as the potential in Fig.1(a). The planar free energy is given by (4.23) and its $\widetilde{\lambda}$ derivative is (3.15):

$$\frac{\partial F_0}{\partial\widetilde{\lambda}} = \frac{1}{12} - \frac{1}{2\widetilde{\lambda}^2}\int_{a_-}^{a_+}dx\rho_0(x)(\log x)^2. \quad (4.51)$$

We could not perform the integration in the right-hand side analytically, so instead we evaluated it by numerics. The numerical result is in good agreement with the past exact result (A.14) as seen in Fig.1(b).

Next we study the genus one correction. Now we consider the one cut solution, so the genus one correction of the resolvent is given by

$$\omega_1(z) = \frac{1}{16}(\chi_-^{(2)}(z) + \chi_+^{(2)}(z)) - \frac{1}{8}\frac{1}{a_- - a_+}(\chi_-^{(1)}(z) - \chi_+^{(1)}(z)), \tag{4.52}$$

where $\chi_\pm$ are defined by (4.44). $M_\pm^{(1)}, M_\pm^{(2)}$ are computed by (4.28). As done in the computation of the resolvent, the integration can be simplified by using (4.17).

$$M_\pm^{(1)} = \frac{1}{a_\pm}\oint_{\mathcal{C}_{[a_-,a_+]}}\frac{dw}{2\pi i}\frac{wW_0'(w)}{h(w)(w-a_\pm)} = \frac{1}{\widetilde{\lambda}a_\pm}\oint_{\mathcal{C}_{[a_-,a_+]}}\frac{dw}{2\pi i}\frac{\log w}{h(w)(w-a_\pm)} + \frac{1}{a_\pm}\oint_{\mathcal{C}_{[a_-,a_+]}}\frac{dw}{2\pi i}\frac{1}{h(w)(w-a_\pm)}.$$

By inflating the contour to the infinity, the first term is computed as

$$\frac{-1}{\widetilde{\lambda}a_\pm}\int_{-\infty}^0 dy\frac{-1}{h(y)(y-a_\pm)} = \frac{-1}{\widetilde{\lambda}a_\pm}\left(\frac{2\sqrt{w-a_\mp}}{(a_\pm-a_\mp)\sqrt{w-a_\pm}}\right)\Big|_{-\infty}^0 = \frac{-2}{\widetilde{\lambda}a_\pm\sqrt{a_\pm}(\sqrt{a}_\pm+\sqrt{a}_\mp)},$$

and the second term vanishes. Therefore $M_\pm^{(1)} = \frac{-2}{\widetilde{\lambda}a_\pm^{3/2}(\sqrt{a}_\pm+\sqrt{a}_\mp)}$. In the same way $M_\pm^{(2)}$ are computed as $M_\pm^{(2)} = \frac{2\left(5\sqrt{a_\pm}+4\sqrt{a_\mp}\right)}{3a_\pm^{5/2}\widetilde{\lambda}\left(\sqrt{a_\pm}+\sqrt{a_\mp}\right)^2}$.

The genus one correction of the free energy is computed by using (3.15):

$$\frac{\partial F_1}{\partial\widetilde{\lambda}} = \frac{1}{12} - \frac{1}{2\widetilde{\lambda}^2}\int_{a_-}^{a_+} dx\rho_1(x)(\log x)^2 = \frac{1}{12} - \frac{1}{\widetilde{\lambda}^2}\int_{-\infty}^0 dx\omega_1(x)\log(-x). \tag{4.53}$$

This time we could perform the integral analytically:

$$\frac{\partial F_1}{\partial\widetilde{\lambda}} = \frac{e^{\widetilde{\lambda}}(\widetilde{\lambda}-2)+\widetilde{\lambda}+2}{24(e^{\widetilde{\lambda}}-1)\widetilde{\lambda}} = \frac{\widetilde{\lambda}\coth\left(\frac{\widetilde{\lambda}}{2}\right)-2}{24\widetilde{\lambda}}. \tag{4.54}$$

This result is in precise agreement with the past exact result of (A.15).

# 5 Discussion

In this paper we have performed a general analysis on a class of matrix models describing Chern-Simons matter theories on three sphere incorporating the standard technique of the $1/N$ expansion developed in the study of ordinary hermitian matrix models. We have derived the loop equation for all orders in the $1/N$ expansion and presented its explicit solution at the spherical order for a general potential and at the genus one order in the case where the potential does not have any $1/N$ correction. We have applied the formulation to pure Chern-Simons theory and confirmed that the presented solution reproduces the exact result known in the past.

In this paper in order to study beyond the planar limit we adopted the iterative procedure given in [30, 31]. Another iterative approach has been proposed by using the Feynman graph of the trivalent vertexes [36, 37]. It would be interesting to reformulate the presented formula in this note in terms of the different approach.

It would be interesting to develop the presented large $N$ technique beyond the planar limit in a class of unitary matrix models which arises as partition function of Chern-Simons matter theories on $\mathbf{S}^2 \times \mathbf{S}^1$. For a class of Chern-Simons vector models, the effective matrix model potential was determined exactly in the leading of the large $N$ limit [19] and the three dimensional bosonization was confirmed at the order. It would be intriguing to test whether the bosonization duality holds at the next leading order in the $1/N$ expansion. (See [38, 39, 40] for recent arguments.)

There is a straight-forward generalization of the presented formulation to a different gauge group [41] or two matrices. This generalization to two matrices is important for the application to higher supersymmetric Chern-Simons matter theories such as the ABJM theory [42]. The $1/N$ correction of the free energy in the ABJM theory was computed in [43, 44, 45]. In this development a new technique called Fermi gas approach was invented [44]. This approach is powerful to study non-perturbative aspects of the ABJM theory from the $\mathbf{S}^3$ partition function [46, 47]. It is an important problem to test whether the traditional techniques of matrix models can reproduce the results obtained by new ones in recent development beyond the spherical limit.

# Acknowledgments

The author would like to thank S. Sugimoto and T. Takayanagi for valuable discussions and comments on the draft. The author would also like to thank Y. Imamura for a helpful comment on the first version of this paper.

# A Partition function of pure Chern-Simons theory on $\mathbf{S}^3$

In this appendix we briefly overview the three sphere partition function in $U(N)_k$ pure Chern-Simons theory and a derivation of its large $N$ expansion used in the main text.

The partition function is defined formally by a path integral over the gauge field on $\mathbf{S}^3$ such that[7]

$$Z_{\rm CS} = \int \mathcal{D}A e^{-\frac{ik}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbf{S}^3}(\frac{1}{2}A\wedge dA - \frac{i}{3}A\wedge A\wedge A)}. \tag{A.1}$$

The classic paper [48] demonstrated explicitly in the case of SU(2) that this can be exactly determined as a function of the Chern-Simons level without performing the path integral by clarifying its relation to a modular transformation matrix of the characters in the

[7] Here $k$ is the renormalized Chern-Simons coupling constant so that $k = \kappa + \mathrm{sgn}(\kappa)N$, where $\kappa$ is the level of the corresponding WZW model.

corresponding Affine Lie algebra. Generalization to arbitrary gauge group is straightforward. Since modular transformation matrices were already determined in general Affine Lie algebras [49], the exact result of the partition function for $U(N)_k$ pure Chern-Simons theory was given by

$$Z_{\rm CS} = k^{-\frac{N}{2}} \prod_{I=1}^{N-1} (2\sin\frac{\pi I}{k})^{N-I}. \tag{A.2}$$

After this exact result was studied in terms of the $1/N$ and $1/k$ expansions [50, 51], it was insightfully observed that the Chern-Simons partition function (A.2) exactly matches that of the topological string theory on a Calabi-Yau three-fold background by identifying the string coupling constant with the pure imaginary Chern-Simons level [52]. This lead to the conjecture of gauge/geometry duality [53] between Chern-Simons/Topological string theories [54, 55].

Subsequently it was pointed out that the partition function (A.1) reduces to a matrix model such that [28]

$$Z_{\rm CS} = \frac{e^{\frac{-\pi(N-1)N(N+1)}{6ik}} i^{\frac{N^2}{2}}}{(2\pi)^N N!} \int_{\mathbf{R}^N} d^N\sigma e^{-i\frac{k}{4\pi}\sum_{s=1}^N \sigma_s^2} \prod_{t\neq s}^{N} 2\sinh(\frac{\sigma_s-\sigma_t}{2}). \tag{A.3}$$

This class of matrix model was extensively studied in relation to the topological string theory [56, 57]. With the help of the Weyl denominator formula the matrix integral was explicitly performed by gaussian integration in perfect agreement with (A.2) [2]. This matrix model was also evaluated exactly by the orthogonal (or characteristic) polynomial method in accordance with (A.2) [58]. The orthogonal polynomials associated with this matrix model were found to be Stiltjes-Wigert polynomials.

The $1/N$ expansion was done as follows [52, 54]. From (A.2) the free energy is computed as

$$F_{\rm CS} = -\log Z_{\rm CS} = \frac{N}{2}\log k - \sum_{I=1}^{N-1}(N-I)\log(2\sin\frac{\pi I}{k}). \tag{A.4}$$

The expansion coefficients are determined as functions of $\lambda = \frac{N}{k}$.

$$F_{\rm CS} = \sum_{g=0}^{\infty} N^{2-2g} F_g(\lambda). \tag{A.5}$$

By using

$$\sin x = x\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(1-(\frac{x}{\pi n})^2), \quad \log(1-x) = -\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\frac{x^m}{m}, \tag{A.6}$$

the second term in (A.4) can be expanded as

$$
\begin{aligned}
&-\sum_{I=1}^{N-1}(N-I)\log(2\sin\frac{\pi I}{k})=\sum_{I=1}^{N-1}(I-N)\log(2\frac{\pi I\lambda}{N}\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(1-(\frac{\pi I\lambda/N}{\pi n})^2))\\
=&-\frac{N(N-1)}{2}\log\frac{2\pi\lambda}{N}+\sum_{I=1}^{N-1}(I-N)\log I+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\frac{\zeta(2m)}{m}(\frac{\lambda}{N})^{2m}\sum_{I=1}^{N-1}(N-I)I^{2m},
\end{aligned}
\tag{A.7}
$$

where $\zeta(m)$ is the zeta function defined by $\zeta(s):=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{n^s}$. The second term in (A.7) can be expressed by using the Barn's function as $\sum_{I=1}^{N-1}(I-N)\log I=-\log G(N+1)$, whose large $N$ expansion is known:

$$
\log G(N+1)=N^2(\frac{1}{2}\log N-\frac{3}{4})+\frac{N}{2}\log 2\pi-\frac{B_2}{2}\log N+\zeta'(-1)+\sum_{g=2}^{\infty}\frac{B_{2g}}{2g(2g-2)}N^{2-2g}.
$$

Here $B_n$ is the $n$-th Bernoulli number defined by[8]

$$
\frac{x}{e^x-1}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{B_n}{n!}x^n. \tag{A.8}
$$

The summation in the last term in (A.7) can be done by using a formula such that[9]

$$
\sum_{I=1}^{N-1}(N-I)I^{2m}=\frac{N^{2m+2}}{(2m+1)(2m+2)}+\sum_{g=1}^{m}\binom{2m}{2g-2}\frac{-B_{2g}}{2g}N^{2m+2-2g}. \tag{A.10}
$$

Plugging these back, we obtain the free energy as

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{\rm CS}=&\frac{N}{2}\log k-\frac{N(N-1)}{2}\log\frac{2\pi\lambda}{N}+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\frac{\zeta(2m)}{m}\lambda^{2m}\left[\frac{N^2}{(2m+1)(2m+2)}+\sum_{g=1}^{m}\binom{2m}{2g-2}\frac{-B_{2g}}{2g}N^{2-2g}\right]\\
&-\left(N^2(\frac{1}{2}\log N-\frac{3}{4})+\frac{N}{2}\log 2\pi-\frac{B_2}{2}\log N+\zeta'(-1)+\sum_{g=2}^{\infty}\frac{B_{2g}}{2g(2g-2)}N^{2-2g}\right)\\
=&N^2\left[-\frac{1}{2}\log 2\pi\lambda+\frac{3}{4}+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\frac{\zeta(2m)}{m}\frac{\lambda^{2m}}{(2m+1)(2m+2)}\right]+\frac{B_2}{2}\log N\\
&-\zeta'(-1)+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\zeta(2m)\lambda^{2m}\frac{-B_2}{2m}+\sum_{g=2}^{\infty}N^{2-2g}\frac{-B_{2g}}{2g(2g-2)}\left[1+\sum_{m=g}^{\infty}\zeta(2m)\lambda^{2m}2\binom{2m-1}{2g-3}\right].
\end{aligned}
$$

[8] Our definition of the Bernoulli number is different from the one adopted in several past literatures such as [51, 52, 54]. The difference is $B_g^{(\rm them)}=(-)^{g-1}B_{2g}^{(\rm us)}$.

[9] This can be proven by using

$$
\sum_{I=1}^{N}I^m=\frac{N^{m+1}}{m+1}+\frac{N^m}{2}+\sum_{g=1}^{[\frac{m}{2}]}\frac{B_{2g}}{2g}\binom{m}{2g-1}N^{m-2g+1}, \tag{A.9}
$$

where $[x]$ is the integer part of $x$.

As a result, the coefficients in the $1/N$ expansion of the form (A.5) are determined as

$$F_0 = -\frac{1}{2}\log 2\pi\lambda + \frac{3}{4} + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\zeta(2m)}{m} \frac{\lambda^{2m}}{(2m+1)(2m+2)}, \tag{A.11}$$

$$F_1 = -\zeta'(-1) + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \zeta(2m)\lambda^{2m} \frac{-B_2}{2m}, \tag{A.12}$$

$$F_g = \frac{-B_{2g}}{2g(2g-2)} \left[ 1 + \sum_{m=g}^{\infty} \zeta(2m)\lambda^{2m} 2 \binom{2m-1}{2g-3} \right], \qquad (g \geq 2). \tag{A.13}$$

A few comments are in order. The leading term in the $1/N$ expansion, $F_0$, can be obtained directly from (A.4) by taking the large $N$ limit [50].

$$F_0 = \lim_{N\to\infty} \frac{F_{\rm CS}}{N^2} = \lim_{N\to\infty} -\frac{1}{N} \sum_{I=1}^{N-1} (1 - \frac{I}{N}) \log(2\sin\frac{\pi\lambda I}{N}) = -\int_0^1 d\tau (1-\tau)\log(2\sin\pi\lambda\tau),$$

where in the last equation we used the definition of the Riemann integral. This can be further computed by using (A.6) as

$$F_0 = \frac{\pi i}{4} - \frac{1}{6} i\pi\lambda + \frac{\zeta(2)}{2i\pi\lambda} + \frac{\zeta(3)}{(2\pi\lambda)^2} - \frac{1}{(2\pi\lambda)^2} {\rm Li}_3(e^{-2\pi i\lambda}), \tag{A.14}$$

where ${\rm Li}_s(z)$ is the polylogarithm defined by ${\rm Li}_s(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{n^s}$. The next leading term $F_1$ can be simplified by using (A.6) as follows.

$$F_1 = -\zeta'(-1) + \frac{1}{12}\log\frac{\sin\pi\lambda}{\pi\lambda}. \tag{A.15}$$

# References


[1] V. Pestun, "Localization of gauge theory on a four-sphere and supersymmetric Wilson loops," *Commun. Math. Phys.* **313** (2012) 71–129, 0712.2824.

[2] A. Kapustin, B. Willett, and I. Yaakov, "Exact Results for Wilson Loops in Superconformal Chern-Simons Theories with Matter," *JHEP* **1003** (2010) 089, 0909.4559.

[3] D. L. Jafferis, "The Exact Superconformal R-Symmetry Extremizes Z," *JHEP* **05** (2012) 159, 1012.3210.

[4] N. Hama, K. Hosomichi, and S. Lee, "Notes on SUSY Gauge Theories on Three-Sphere," *JHEP* **03** (2011) 127, 1012.3512.

[5] N. Hama, K. Hosomichi, and S. Lee, "SUSY Gauge Theories on Squashed Three-Spheres," *JHEP* **05** (2011) 014, 1102.4716.

[6] Y. Imamura and D. Yokoyama, "N=2 supersymmetric theories on squashed three-sphere," *Phys. Rev.* **D85** (2012) 025015, 1109.4734.

[7] S. Kim, "The Complete superconformal index for N=6 Chern-Simons theory," *Nucl.Phys.* **B821** (2009) 241–284, 0903.4172.

[8] Y. Imamura and S. Yokoyama, "Index for three dimensional superconformal field theories with general R-charge assignments," *JHEP* **04** (2011) 007, 1101.0557.

[9] J. Bhattacharya and S. Minwalla, "Superconformal Indices for N = 6 Chern Simons Theories," *JHEP* **0901** (2009) 014, 0806.3251.

[10] J. Choi, S. Lee, and J. Song, "Superconformal Indices for Orbifold Chern-Simons Theories," *JHEP* **03** (2009) 099, 0811.2855.

[11] Y. Imamura and S. Yokoyama, "A Monopole Index for N=4 Chern-Simons Theories," *Nucl. Phys.* **B827** (2010) 183–216, 0908.0988.

[12] N. Drukker, M. Marino, and P. Putrov, "From weak to strong coupling in ABJM theory," *Commun. Math. Phys.* **306** (2011) 511–563, 1007.3837.

[13] C. P. Herzog, I. R. Klebanov, S. S. Pufu, and T. Tesileanu, "Multi-Matrix Models and Tri-Sasaki Einstein Spaces," *Phys. Rev.* **D83** (2011) 046001, 1011.5487.

[14] T. Suyama, "On Large N Solution of ABJM Theory," *Nucl. Phys.* **B834** (2010) 50–76, 0912.1084.

[15] D. Martelli, A. Passias, and J. Sparks, "The gravity dual of supersymmetric gauge theories on a squashed three-sphere," *Nucl. Phys.* **B864** (2012) 840–868, 1110.6400.

[16] M. Marino, "Lectures on localization and matrix models in supersymmetric Chern-Simons-matter theories," *J.Phys.* **A44** (2011) 463001, 1104.0783.

[17] S. Giombi, S. Minwalla, S. Prakash, S. P. Trivedi, S. R. Wadia, *et al.*, "Chern-Simons Theory with Vector Fermion Matter," *Eur.Phys.J.* **C72** (2012) 2112, 1110.4386.

[18] O. Aharony, G. Gur-Ari, and R. Yacoby, "d=3 Bosonic Vector Models Coupled to Chern-Simons Gauge Theories," *JHEP* **1203** (2012) 037, 1110.4382.

[19] S. Jain, S. Minwalla, T. Sharma, T. Takimi, S. R. Wadia, *et al.*, "Phases of large $N$ vector Chern-Simons theories on $S^2 \times S^1$," *JHEP* **1309** (2013) 009, 1301.6169.

[20] S. Jain, S. P. Trivedi, S. R. Wadia, and S. Yokoyama, "Supersymmetric Chern-Simons Theories with Vector Matter," *JHEP* **1210** (2012) 194, 1207.4750.

[21] S. Jain, S. Minwalla, and S. Yokoyama, "Chern Simons duality with a fundamental boson and fermion," *JHEP* **1311** (2013) 037, 1305.7235.

[22] J. Maldacena and A. Zhiboedov, "Constraining conformal field theories with a slightly broken higher spin symmetry," *Class.Quant.Grav.* **30** (2013) 104003, 1204.3882.

[23] O. Aharony, G. Gur-Ari, and R. Yacoby, "Correlation Functions of Large N Chern-Simons-Matter Theories and Bosonization in Three Dimensions," *JHEP* **1212** (2012) 028, 1207.4593.

[24] O. Aharony, S. Giombi, G. Gur-Ari, J. Maldacena, and R. Yacoby, "The Thermal Free Energy in Large N Chern-Simons-Matter Theories," *JHEP* **1303** (2013) 121, 1211.4843.

[25] I. R. Klebanov, S. S. Pufu, and B. R. Safdi, "F-Theorem without Supersymmetry," *JHEP* **10** (2011) 038, 1105.4598.

[26] D. H. Adams, "The semiclassical approximation for the Chern-Simons partition function," *Phys. Lett.* **B417** (1998) 53–60, hep-th/9709147.

[27] J. Kallen, "Cohomological localization of Chern-Simons theory," *JHEP* **08** (2011) 008, 1104.5353.

[28] M. Marino, "Chern-Simons theory, matrix integrals, and perturbative three manifold invariants," *Commun. Math. Phys.* **253** (2004) 25–49, hep-th/0207096.

[29] E. Brezin, C. Itzykson, G. Parisi, and J. B. Zuber, "Planar Diagrams," *Commun. Math. Phys.* **59** (1978) 35.

[30] J. Ambjrn, L. Chekhov, C. F. Kristjansen, and Yu. Makeenko, "Matrix model calculations beyond the spherical limit," *Nucl. Phys.* **B404** (1993) 127–172, hep-th/9302014. [Erratum: Nucl. Phys.B449,681(1995)].

[31] G. Akemann, "Higher genus correlators for the Hermitian matrix model with multiple cuts," *Nucl. Phys.* **B482** (1996) 403–430, hep-th/9606004.

[32] A. A. Migdal, "Loop Equations and 1/N Expansion," *Phys. Rept.* **102** (1983) 199–290.

[33] M. Marino, "Les Houches lectures on matrix models and topological strings," 2004. hep-th/0410165.

[34] F. David, "Phases of the large N matrix model and nonperturbative effects in 2-d gravity," *Nucl. Phys.* **B348** (1991) 507–524.

[35] J. Jurkiewicz, "Regularization of the One Matrix Models," *Phys. Lett.* **B245** (1990) 178–184.

[36] B. Eynard, "Topological expansion for the 1-Hermitian matrix model correlation functions," *JHEP* **11** (2004) 031, hep-th/0407261.

[37] L. Chekhov and B. Eynard, "Matrix eigenvalue model: Feynman graph technique for all genera," *JHEP* **12** (2006) 026, math-ph/0604014.

[38] O. Aharony, "Baryons, monopoles and dualities in Chern-Simons-matter theories," *JHEP* **02** (2016) 093, 1512.00161.

[39] P.-S. Hsin and N. Seiberg, "Level/rank Duality and Chern-Simons-Matter Theories," *JHEP* **09** (2016) 095, 1607.07457.

[40] D. Radicevic, D. Tong, and C. Turner, "Non-Abelian 3d Bosonization and Quantum Hall States," 1608.04732.

[41] N. Halmagyi and V. Yasnov, "Chern-Simons matrix models and unoriented strings," *JHEP* **02** (2004) 002, hep-th/0305134.

[42] O. Aharony, O. Bergman, D. L. Jafferis, and J. Maldacena, "N=6 superconformal Chern-Simons-matter theories, M2-branes and their gravity duals," *JHEP* **0810** (2008) 091, 0806.1218.

[43] H. Fuji, S. Hirano, and S. Moriyama, "Summing Up All Genus Free Energy of ABJM Matrix Model," *JHEP* **08** (2011) 001, 1106.4631.

[44] M. Marino and P. Putrov, "ABJM theory as a Fermi gas," *J. Stat. Mech.* **1203** (2012) P03001, 1110.4066.

[45] M. Mezei and S. S. Pufu, "Three-sphere free energy for classical gauge groups," *JHEP* **02** (2014) 037, 1312.0920.

[46] Y. Hatsuda, S. Moriyama, and K. Okuyama, "Instanton Effects in ABJM Theory from Fermi Gas Approach," *JHEP* **01** (2013) 158, 1211.1251.

[47] Y. Hatsuda, M. Marino, S. Moriyama, and K. Okuyama, "Non-perturbative effects and the refined topological string," *JHEP* **09** (2014) 168, 1306.1734.

[48] E. Witten, "Quantum Field Theory and the Jones Polynomial," *Commun.Math.Phys.* **121** (1989) 351.

[49] V. G. Kac and D. H. Peterson, "Infinite dimensional Lie algebras, theta functions and modular forms," *Adv. Math.* **53** (1984) 125–264.

[50] M. Camperi, F. Levstein, and G. Zemba, "The Large $N$ Limit of Chern-Simons Gauge Theory," *Phys. Lett.* **B247** (1990) 549–554.

[51] V. Periwal, "Topological closed string interpretation of Chern-Simons theory," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **71** (1993) 1295–1298, hep-th/9305115.

[52] R. Gopakumar and C. Vafa, "M theory and topological strings. 1.," hep-th/9809187.

[53] J. M. Maldacena, "The Large N limit of superconformal field theories and supergravity," *Adv.Theor.Math.Phys.* **2** (1998) 231–252, hep-th/9711200.

[54] R. Gopakumar and C. Vafa, "On the gauge theory / geometry correspondence," *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **3** (1999) 1415–1443, hep-th/9811131.

[55] R. Gopakumar and C. Vafa, "M theory and topological strings. 2.," hep-th/9812127.

[56] M. Aganagic, M. Marino, and C. Vafa, "All loop topological string amplitudes from Chern-Simons theory," *Commun. Math. Phys.* **247** (2004) 467–512, hep-th/0206164.

[57] M. Aganagic, A. Klemm, M. Marino, and C. Vafa, "Matrix model as a mirror of Chern-Simons theory," *JHEP* **02** (2004) 010, hep-th/0211098.

[58] M. Tierz, "Soft matrix models and Chern-Simons partition functions," *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A19** (2004) 1365–1378, hep-th/0212128.